

ba

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF WEST JORDAN
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Wednesday, March 12, 2014

6:00 p.m.

Council Chambers

8000 South Redwood Road

West Jordan, Utah 84088

COUNCIL: Mayor Kim V. Rolfe and Council Members Jeff Haaga, Judy Hansen, and Justin D. Stoker, and Chad Nichols. Councilmember Chris M. McConnehey participated electronically. Councilmember Ben Southworth was excused.

STAFF: Richard L. Davis, City Manager; Jeffrey Robinson, City Attorney; Bryce Haderlie, Deputy City Manager; Melanie Briggs, City Clerk; Tom Burdett, Development Director; Ryan Bradshaw, Finance Manager/Controller; Marc McElreath, Fire Chief; Wendell Rigby, Public Works Director; Doug Diamond, Police Chief; Greg Nikolash, City Planner; Robert Thorup, Deputy City Attorney; Darien Alcorn, Deputy City Attorney; Larry Gardner, Senior Planner; and Dave Murphy, Capital Projects Manager.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Rolfe called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

II. CLOSED SESSION

DISCUSS THE SALE, LEASE, OR PURCHASE OF REAL PROPERTY, PENDING OR IMMINENT LITIGATION, AND DISCUSS PERSONNEL ISSUES

COUNCIL: Mayor Kim V. Rolfe and Council Members Jeff Haaga, Judy Hansen, Chad Nichols and Justin D. Stoker. Councilmembers Ben Southworth and Chris M. McConnehey were excused.

STAFF: Richard L. Davis, City Manager; Jeffrey Robinson, City Attorney, and Stewart Williams, Deputy City Attorney

MOTION: Councilmember Justin Stoker moved to go into a Closed Session to discuss the sale, lease or purchase of real property; pending or imminent litigation, and discuss personnel issues. This motion was seconded by Councilmember Chad Nichols.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Absent
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 5-0

The Council convened into a Closed Session to discuss the sale, lease, or purchase of real property, litigation, and discuss personnel issues at 5:31 p.m.

The Council recessed the Closed Session at 6:10 p.m. and reconvened the meeting at 6:15 p.m.

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Jayse Jones, Boy Scout Troop 1521.

IV. PRESENTATION FIRE DEPARTMENT BADGE PINNING

Chief McElreath-

- Four promotions within the Fire Department, brief histories were given for each and their wives pinned their badges.
 1. Deputy Chief Reed Scharman
 2. Deputy Fire Chief Clint Peterson
 3. Battalion Chief Chris Trevino
- Two promotions to Engineer, brief histories were given for each and their wives pinned their badges.
 1. Zack Sumners
 2. Nate Reasch
- Four Firefighters recognized for completion of one-year probationary period, a brief history was given on each.
 1. Josh Kapinski
 2. Calvin Wayman
 3. Danny Gates
 4. Ryan Ottley

Melanie Briggs, City Clerk, administered the Oath of Office to the four firefighters.

Captain Clint Paxton, Engineer Dave Montoya, Paramedics Brandon Davis and Troy Harris, and EMT Ryan Ottley were recognized for assisting West Jordan resident Wendell

McMullin, who experienced a cardiac event while driving. The quick, decisive and heroic actions of the above-named firefighters contributed to Mr. McMullin's survival.

PRESENTATION FROM JUSTIN OSMOND REGARDING UTAH PIONEER DAY

Merrill Osmond Expressed gratitude to West Jordan City for participation in the Hearing Angels program; over \$100,000 worth of hearing aids were given to West Jordan residents. He mentioned that this years' Pioneer Days celebration would include a performance by David Archuleta, and that KSL Radio and ABC TV had pledged 100% support for advertising the event. A brief video showcasing the past years' events was shown.

V. COMMUNICATIONS CITY MANAGER COMMENTS/REPORTS

Richard L Davis-

- Reported that he would be travelling to St. George in the coming week to secure an interest-only loan to the City for \$3 million to repair 9000 South between 4800 West to 5600 West.
- Expressed appreciation to everyone who contributed to the 'Meet the City' event held last week. Approximately 100-150 people attended.

STAFF COMMENTS/REPORTS

Bryce Haderlie-

- Reported that the ERP software would be functional for all employees as early as next week to begin training.
- Informed that April 26 would be 'I Love West Jordan/Comcast Cares Day' and encouraged citizens and staff to get the word out. He mentioned that the amount of money donated by Comcast was based on the number of participants, and stressed that it was the goal this year to have the largest donation check ever given by Comcast to be presented to West Jordan City.

Tom Burdett-

- Solicited input for dates for a joint workshop between the Planning Commission and City Council. April 30, 2014, was the date chosen. The topics to be discussed would be the changes to land usage to meet strategic planning goal, and changing the City Code for signage.

Marc McElreath-

- Updated the Council on the status of Station 54. The old building had been demolished, and bids for construction of the new building were scheduled to open on March 20, 2014

Melanie Briggs-

- Updated the Council on the former Deputy Recorder's resignation, and expressed intent to fill the vacancy.

Robert Thorup-

- Updated the Council on the 2014 Utah Legislative Session
 - HB20 passed. Limits police liability with respect to chases.
 - HB54 placed on interim study. Would allow car dealerships in West Jordan.
 - HB104 placed on interim study. Would give the City more zoning control over schools.
 - HB155
 - SB134 passed. Shortened the time between a referendum and budget funds being available.
 - HB242 placed on interim study. Would require cities to provide GRAMA requests under \$1,000, free of charge.
 - The bill that would have eliminated business licenses for home businesses was placed on interim study.
 - HB25 passed. Allowed cities to provide legal and fiscal analysis on initiatives and referenda.
 - SB184 did not pass. It required inspections within three days.
 - HB25 passed, and imposed new duties on the City regarding Eminent Domain disclosure.
 - HB379 was not passed.
 - HB388 was not passed.
 - SB51 was expected to pass. Would require hearings to move Enterprise Funds into the General Fund.
 - SB249 did not pass, it was intended to allow cities to use Eminent Domain for trails.
 - HB102 would severely limit the ability to use assessment areas. The last word was that it would be moved to interim study. In the meantime, a moratorium would be placed on assessment areas, and would be in effect May 15, 2014.
 - HB163 would have restored cities' immunity to lawsuits. He indicated that it did not pass, and was anticipated to be addressed next legislative session.

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS/REPORTS

Councilmember Hansen-

- Asked to clarify time the Planning Commission and Council meeting was being held on April 30. It was determined it would be at 6:00 p.m.

Councilmember Haaga-

- Expressed appreciation for the developments in the City he observed while on a tour with Paul Coates.

Councilmember Stoker-

- Promoted to Council and those present the Arts Council production, "Murder Room," to take place March 13-17, and March 17-19, 2014. The Youth Theater would also be performing "Sleepy Hollow: a Musical Tale," March 20, 21, 27, and 28, 2014, at Joel P. Jensen Middle School.
- Related request from the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) for West Jordan to donate .35 cents per capita (\$36,299) to assist Salt Lake City in serving the homeless population. Solicited interest from Council to add the issue to the next Council Meeting as a Business Item. The issue would be discussed further as a business item at the May 14, 2014, Council Meeting.

VI. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Doug Diamond, Exchange Club President, recognized the recipients of ACE (Accepting the Challenge of Excellence) scholarship awards of \$500 dollars each, donated by Dannon. The recipients were: Chase Sackett, Copper Hills High School, Marlow Lucero, Valley High School, and Robert Howell, West Jordan High School. The scholarships were awarded to individuals who have overcome challenges in order to graduate from high school.

Kelvin Green, West Jordan resident, addressed dissatisfaction with the treatment of citizens' concerns during the previous Public Hearing regarding the Gardner Mill development. Specifically that the 43-page document submitted by a citizen was not included in the meeting minutes. He presented the viewpoint that the City Council was more concerned with developer interests as opposed to the citizen's wishes.

Melanie Briggs, West Jordan City Clerk, clarified that supporting documentation, such as the 43-page document opposing the Station at Gardner Mill, was not considered actual meeting minutes, and was not recorded as such. Documents that were submitted during Council Meetings would be attached to the meeting minutes and were scanned and archived as public historical record.

Alexandra Eframo, West Jordan resident, commended Fire Chief McElreath for giving a complete history of the firefighters he promoted. She requested that the City Manager provide a dollar amount for the cost to change the City logo and expressed dissatisfaction with the proposal. She also suggested a campaign to have the City provide a three-digit non-emergency number for citizens to contact authorities.

Michelle Foote, West Jordan resident, expressed appreciation for the opportunity to meet City Councilmembers at the 'Meet the City' event. She also addressed concerns regarding citizen comments being summarized in the meeting minutes.

Michael Gregory, West Jordan resident, addressed concerns with tournament play superseding youth soccer games at the City soccer complex.

Jaylynn Thomas, West Jordan resident, expressed frustration with the way the City soccer complex was being used. She was concerned that the needs of the citizens were not being met with regard to this issue.

Ben Watson, West Jordan resident, presented a brief argument against the Station at Gardner Mill development. Made note that all attempts he had made to meet with the developer to address his concerns were not fruitful, and wanted to bring that to the Council's attention.

Brett Tatton, West Jordan resident, addressed concerns with the situation with the soccer complex, and tournament league play taking precedence over private league play. He requested that preference be given to the kids in recreational leagues.

There was no one else who wished to speak.

MOTION: Councilmember Haaga moved to amend the meeting minutes to link the 43-page document to Calvin P. Green's comments in the online meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Mayor Rolfe.

Councilmember Nichols expressed dissent. He did not want to set the precedent of including large documents as official meeting minutes.

Councilmember Stoker asked clarifying questions.

A roll call vote was taken.

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	No
Councilmember McConnehe	No
Councilmember Nichols	No
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion failed 3-3

VII. CONSENT ITEMS

7.a Approve the minutes of February 26, 2014, as presented.

7.b Approve Resolution 14-41, authorizing the Mayor to execute an Amended Contract with GBS Consultants

- 7.c Approve Resolution 14-42, authorizing the Mayor to execute Amendment No. # to the south Valley Water Reclamation Facility Interlocal Agreement**
- 7.d Approve Resolution 14-43, authorizing the Mayor to execute a First Amendment to the Development Agreement, Maple Hills Subdivision**
- 7.e Approve Resolution 14-44, authorizing the Mayor to execute an Easement Agreement between the City of West Jordan and Century Link, QC**
- 7.f Approve Resolution 14-45, authorizing the Mayor to execute a professional services agreement with Stanley Consultants, Inc. for construction management services for the 5600 West Improvement Project Phase 2 B, in an amount not to exceed \$155,954.00**
- 7.g Approve Resolution 14-46, authorizing the Mayor to execute the Local Government Contract between Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), West Jordan City, and Project Engineering Consultants, Ltd. For construction engineering management services for the Redwood Road and 8200 South project, in an amount not to exceed \$109,236.03**
- 7.h Approve Resolution 14-47, authorizing the Mayor to execute a Reimbursement Agreement between the City of West Jordan and Boyer Longview, LLC North Phase 2**
- 7.i Approve Resolution 14-48, approving Change Order #1 with Specialty Vehicle Concepts, Inc. of Murray, Utah, (SVCI) for upgrades to the Police Department's Incident Command Trailer, in an amount not to exceed \$16,990.00**
- 7.j Approve Ordinance 14-07, amending the 2009 West Jordan Municipal Code Title 8, Chapter 3, Article C, 'Public Works, Public Ways and Property' and Title 11, Chapter 4, 'Land Disturbance,' regarding land disturbance and improvement assurance.**
- 7.k Approve Resolution 14-49, authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract with Morgan Asphalt for construction of the Jordan River Trail, 9000-8600 South in an amount not to exceed \$312,323.95**

MOTION: Councilmember Nichols moved to improve consent items 7a through 7k. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Haaga.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0

VIII. PUBLIC HEARING

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL ORDINANCE 14-08, AMENDING THE 2009 WEST JORDAN MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 13 SECTION 13-15-4, AND TITLE 14 14-5-2, ADDING LANGUAGE TO ALLOW FOR SUBDIVISION OF PROPERTY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC UTILITY FACILITIES OR STRUCTURES WITH OUT REQUIRING FRONTAGE ON A PUBLIC STREET, QUESTAR GAS COMPANY, RICK HELLSTROM APPLICANT.

Larry Gardner informed the Council that the Planning Commission, by unanimous vote, recommended that the City Council amend the West Jordan Municipal Code Sections 13-15-4 and 14-5-2 to allow the subdivision of property for the construction of public utility facilities or structures without requiring frontage on a public street.

Staff recommended that the City Council amend the West Jordan Municipal Code Sections 13-15-4 and 14-5-2 to allow the subdivision of property for the construction of public utility facilities or structures without requiring frontage on a public street.

It was reported that there would be no fiscal impact.

The applicant requested approval of a text amendment to Title 13, Chapter 15 "Public Utilities" and Title 14, Chapter 5 "Lots" of the 2009 City Code. The applicant asked that language be added to sections 13-15-4 and 14-5-2 that allowed public utility providers to subdivide property without the requirement for street frontage. Section 14-5-2 (C) requires that all lots when subdivided have frontage on a public street. Although this amendment was not limited to any specific scenario, the reason Questar Gas sought this amendment was because it sought to construct a facility on property located near the intersection of U-111 and Old Bingham Highway. The site was blocked from frontage on Old Bingham Highway by a one-mile long, twenty five foot wide parcel owned by Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District. Questar Gas negotiated an easement from Jordan Valley, but without the amendment, it was physically impossible to locate any facilities within the one mile corridor due to lack of frontage. Without a new facility in the area, Questar would not be able to site the necessary inspection facilities for the pipelines in the area.

Requiring street frontage when land was subdivided was to prevent “land locked” parcels. Public utilities often did not need frontage on public streets and in many cases only needed access through an easement. It may have been in the city’s best interest to preserve frontages on streets for more compatible or desirable uses. Public utilities occupied property for decades and the use was very specific to their particular needs, where as other property uses changed more often. The need for street frontage was less important with public utilities than with most other uses, in fact it may have been desirable to have a way to site public utility yards behind other uses and lots. Title 13, Chapter 15 “Public Utilities” already waived most zoning and bulk requirements for public utility uses. It seemed reasonable to also waive the street frontage requirement of Title 14, Chapter 5 “Lots” for public utilities, as this text amendment would do. The amendment to Title 13, Chapter 15 “Public Utilities” was to make certain that all amendments for public utilities were consistent across both titles. The applicant provided a narrative justifying their reasoning for the text amendments. (Exhibit A).

A. The amendment would modify Section 13-15-4 by adding subsection C as follows:

13-15-4: DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS:

Public utility structures shall be subject to all of the height, bulk, location and other standards for the zone in which they are located, except:

A. There shall be no minimum zoning lot size required.

B. Only walled and/or roofed structures shall be required to meet the yard requirements (setbacks) of the zone. Otherwise the public facilities listed in this chapter shall have no minimum yard requirements.

C. Public utility lots, facilities or structures shall not be required to have frontage on a public street, as long as access adequate for the public utility’s purposes is available to the public utility through an easement or other agreement.

B. The amendment would also modify Section 14-5-2 by adding subsection G as follows:

14-5-2: LOTS:

A. Arrangement: All lots shall be arranged and designed to comply with all requirements of this title.

B. Size: All lots shall conform to the minimum area and width requirements for the zone in which the subdivision is located.

C. Frontage: Each lot shall have frontage on a public street dedicated by the subdivision plat or on an existing public street. Lots having frontages on two (2) or more streets shall

be prohibited, except for corner lots and lots in subdivisions which back onto arterial and collector streets outside of the subdivision boundary.

D. Side Lot Lines: Side lines of lots shall be at approximately right angles to the street line or radial to the street line.

E. All Land To Be Included In Lots: All land within the subdivision shall be included in building lots, designated open space, or within areas dedicated for public use. The subdivision shall not create lots or parcels that do not conform to the city ordinances.

F. Survey Stakes: Survey stakes shall be placed at both front and back lot corners to identify the lot boundaries on the ground. Back lot corners shall be marked with a metal pipe or rod driven into the ground and front lot corners shall be identified with permanent plugs in the back of the curb. All lot corners shall be in place prior to the issuance of building permits and after the completion of all subdivision improvements. It shall be the responsibility of the lot owner to ensure that all lot corners are in place prior to the final inspection of the house.

G. Public Utilities: public utilities are exempted from certain requirements of this code as provided in section 13-15-4.

Findings of Fact:

Section 13-7D-7(B) Findings for approval Text Amendments

Criteria 1: *The proposed amendment conforms to the general plan and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein;*

Discussion: The General Plan said that siting of public utilities should be compatible with neighboring "lands uses." Public utility locations are specific to need. Gas lines already existed along the frontage of Old Bingham Highway and locating the end facility at this location was adjacent to a Rocky Mountain Power corridor. The applicant justifies this by stating: "Public utility facilities must be located near pipelines or transmission lines which serve an area with the relevant utility. Because of the various locations in which a utility may run (i.e. in the city street versus through the back of a private parcel of property) having flexibility to locate a public utility facility in the location which is the most logical choice for the land use is important. The amendment allows flexibility to public utility companies and landowners in placing these public utility facilities."

Finding: The proposed amendment conformed to the general plan and was consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein.

Criteria 2: *The proposed amendment is appropriate given the context of the request and there is sufficient justification for a modification to this title.*

Discussion: The applicant justified this request by stating: “Although the amendment removes the requirement for frontage in certain limited circumstances, sufficient access to a public utility’s lot– through an easement or other agreement-is still required. Consequently, no impact to the General Plan’s policies will occur. The amendment simply allows utility facilities to obtain a relatively small lot for construction of public utility facilities whether such lot is located near a public street or not. It allows for overall better public utility service to the entire area and residents of West Jordan City by allowing the flexibility to locate public utility facilities where they are needed, and where they can be built in relation to transmission lines or pipelines.”

Finding: The proposed amendments were appropriate given the context of the request and there is sufficient justification for a modification to this title.

Criteria 3: *The proposed amendment would not create a conflict with any other section or part of this title or the general plan.*

Discussion: The proposed amendments would not conflict with other sections of the zoning or subdivision ordinance or the General Plan. The ordinance already removed most of the regulating provisions of the zoning ordinance as they related to public utilities and adding the section not requiring lot frontage was consistent. It was also reasonable and consistent to amend the subdivision regulations exempting public utilities from lot frontage requirements, but still required access to a public street. The request was in harmony with the already adopted policies relating to public utilities. The applicant states: “The West Jordan City Code is “enacted... to provide for the safety, preserve the health, [and] promote prosperity.” West Jordan City Code section 1-1-10. This amendment fosters this purpose by allowing for safe, sufficient, supply of public utilities for future development and for the existing residents of West Jordan.”

Finding: The proposed amendment did not create a conflict with any other section or part of this title or the general plan.

Criteria 4: *The proposed amendments do not relieve a particular hardship, nor does it confer any special privileges to a single property owner or cause, and it is only necessary to make a modification to this title in light of corrections or changes in public policy.*

Discussion: At first blush it appeared, and could be argued that this request is only accommodating Questar gas and relieving a “particular hardship” at this particular location. But the request was also serving the greater public

interest by allowing all public utilities, which by law must provide their services to the people of the state, a similar relaxation of rules that was already granted in Title 13, Chapter 15. The proposed text amendment did not benefit Questar monetarily. Questar was required by Federal law to inspect their pipelines on a regular basis. The subdivision of property was only to create a point to access the lines for inspection purposes. The applicant pointed out one instance where a subdivision, with no frontage, for a similar type of facility was approved in the city in the past on a large tract of property. The conclusion was that the subdivision was reasonable for the use and was approved notwithstanding the adopted provisions of the City Code. It was more appropriate to change public policy to what was already seen as reasonable. The change also allowed public utilities the flexibility to locate at the rear of a property, at a more desirable point on a large tract, or behind other parcels which could free up lot frontages and street corners for more desirable uses. The applicant states: "as required by sec. 13-7D-7(B)(4), the proposed amendment was not designed to provide a special privilege to a single landowner and was designed to further the important public policy of allowing for sufficient and efficient provision of public utilities to residents of West Jordan."

Finding: The proposed amendment did not relieve a particular hardship, nor does it confer any special privileges to a single property owner or cause, and it was only necessary to make a modification to this title in light of corrections or changes in public policy.

The General Plan encouraged location of public utilities in locations that were compatible with adjacent land uses. The proposed text amendments allowing subdivision of property without a frontage requirement would give the city additional tools and flexibility in siting public utilities in desirable locations. The proposed text amendments were in harmony with what already existed in Title 13 and would not conflict with state law or other provisions of this code. Lastly the proposed text amendments would serve the greater public good by allowing adequate and reasonable location of public utilities.

The Council and Staff discussed clarifying questions.

Rick Hellstrom, Applicant, explained the need to amend the Municipal Code to allow Questar access to the property.

Mayor Rolfe opened the public hearing.

Alexandra Eframo, West Jordan resident, expressed confusion about the amendment. She complimented Questar for good customer service when changing her gas meter. There was no one else who desired to speak. Mayor Rolfe closed the public hearing.

MOTION: Councilmember Nichols moved to adopt Ordinance 14-08 amending the West Jordan Municipal Code Sections 13-15-4 and 14-5-2 to allow the subdivision of property for the construction of public utility facilities or structures without requiring frontage on a public street. Councilmember Stoker seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL ORDINANCE 14-09, AMENDING THE 2009 WEST JORDAN MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 13, TO ALLOW FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS, ADDING DEFINITIONS, AND AMENDING THE USE CHARTS IN ALL DISTRICTS TO REMOVE ACCESSORY USES AND CREATING A NEW ACCESSORY USE CHART, CITY-WIDE APPLICABILITY, CITY OF WEST JORDAN, APPLICANT.

Tom Burdett informed the Council that the Planning Commission, by unanimous vote, recommended that the Council amend West Jordan 2009 City Code, Section 13-2-3 "Definitions;" create section 13-8-22 to allow for renewable energy systems; amend the use tables for all districts and create new accessory use tables for all districts.

Staff recommended that the City Council amend West Jordan 2009 City Code, Section 13-2-3 "Definitions;" create section 13-8-22 to allow for renewable energy systems; amend the use tables for all districts and create new accessory use tables for all districts.

It was reported that there would be no fiscal impact.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on February 4, 2014 concerning "Renewable Energy Systems" and by unanimous vote recommended that the City Council adopt the proposed amendments. The text amendments were for renewable Energy Systems, additions to the definitions, and the creation of an accessory use table for each zone. Prior to the February 4, 2014, public hearing, the Planning Commission also held hearings on June 5, 2012, and on July 17, 2012. During the July 17, 2012, meeting, the Planning Commission forwarded a positive recommendation to the City Council to approve the proposed text amendment. The City Council heard the item on August 22, 2012. The City Council felt that there were issues that needed to be addressed and sent

the item back to staff and the Planning Commission to resolve the issues. The City Council and Planning Commission held a workshop on October 10, 2012 to discuss the item and the appropriate changes. All of the changes to the ordinance as requested by the Planning Commission and City Council were made.

The City received several inquiries into wind and solar energy systems from both residents and business owners. There were two wind turbines and numerous solar energy systems in manufacturing areas of West Jordan at the time of this writing. The City-initiated amendment permitted wind and solar power systems and provide specific requirements based on the zoning district and type of system. The text amendment added seven new definitions to the code as well as a new section 13-8-22, covering the requirements for the alternative energy systems, created a new table for accessory use and amended all use charts for all zoning districts.

- A. Section 13-8-22 "Renewable Energy Systems." The proposed changes were split into three parts. The first section provided submittal requirements for all alternative energy systems, the second covered wind energy systems and the third covered solar energy systems.

Submittal Requirements:

Staff proposed three additional review criteria for all Conditional Use Permits in association with wind and solar energy systems. The three criteria can be found below.

1. Proximity of the system to residential structures and residential district boundaries;
2. Possible negative impacts on surrounding properties, including but not limited to noise, shadow flicker, low frequency vibrations and the disruption of scenic views or other visual impacts,
3. Aesthetics of the system, including but not limited to height, wind vanes, color, type, size and the visibility of the system;

This section clarified two things: 1) if an interconnected system is being proposed, proof that the affected electric utility company had been notified would be required; and 2) all cables in association with the system shall be underground, unless the property already contained above ground cables and was not otherwise required to be underground. This last provision would prevent applicants from running above ground cables from their house, utility boxes, or above ground utility company wires to their proposed systems. The General Provisions section detailed the removal of abandoned or inoperable systems. This section also exempted decorative yard systems. Lastly, a statement was made that this chapter did not permit large scale commercial wind/solar energy systems.

Wind Energy Systems:

This section outlined the design standards of wind systems, the potential impacts to wildlife and the natural environment. Lighting signage and signal interference issues of electronic devices were also addressed. There were several definitions proposed for

insertion into the Zoning Ordinance in relation to Wind Energy Systems. The definitions were for the three types of wind energy systems covered under the proposed ordinance.

1. Wind Energy System, Micro-Model
 - a. Small-scale wind turbines which are mounted to primary buildings, light poles, or accessory structures.
 - b. Permitted within most zones.
 - c. Restricted to 8-feet above the structure it is installed on, or up to the existing maximum height requirement for the zone, whichever is less.
2. Wind Energy System, Rooftop Mounted
 - a. Small-scale wind turbines which are mounted to the roof of a structure and provide electricity for that specific structure.
 - b. Permitted in all zones.
 - c. Restricted to 8-feet above the roof it is installed on, or to the existing maximum height requirement for the zone, whichever is less.
3. Small Wind Energy System
 - a. Small to medium sized turbines which are mounted on top of a pole and designed to provide energy production for the uses located on the same site as the turbine.
 - b. A conditional use in commercial and Public Facility zones and a permitted use in the Manufacturing zones.
 - c. Maximum height of 45-feet including the blades, and a minimum height of 15-feet from lowest point of blades.
 - d. The setback from property lines, regardless of zone, is the overall height of the system plus one blade. Must be setback from all structures on the same property a minimum of 10-feet.
 - e. Towers are not allowed in the front, side or corner side yards. Measures to restrict climbing are in the ordinance.

Solar Energy Systems:

There were two types of solar energy systems proposed, building mounted and ground mounted. Provisions were included in the ordinance to minimize reflection onto neighboring properties and adjacent rights-of-way. Screen requirements for utility and mechanical equipment were included in the ordinance. Below are the two system types along with the basic regulations.

1. Solar Energy System, Building Mounted
 - a. A solar energy system which is mounted to a building roof and may be bracket mounted, tilted or lay flat on the roof surface.
 - b. Permitted use in all zones.
 - c. Must meet the same setbacks as required in the zone.
 - d. If tilted, part of the array shall be within 12-inches of the roof surface at all times. The highest point of the system may be a maximum of 7-feet above the surface of the roof, or up to the maximum height allowed within the zoning district, whichever is less.

2. Solar Energy System, Ground Mounted

- a. An accessory structure mounted to the ground which contains solar panels for the purpose of energy production.
- b. Permitted use in commercial and manufacturing zones and conditional use in residential, office and City Center zones.
- c. Regulated the same as an accessory structure. This means the setbacks would be 3-feet from property line for a solar energy system which is 10-feet in height or less, and an additional 1-foot in setback for every foot in height above 10-feet.
- d. Prohibited in the front, side or corner side yard.
- e. The maximum height proposed for a ground mounted solar energy system is 20-feet measured from the surrounding natural grade to the highest point of the system.
- f. The ordinance contains provisions regarding solar easements.

B. Section 13-8-3 "Accessory Uses, Buildings and Structures." This chapter lists all accessory uses in a table format and list whether the accessory use is permitted, conditional or not allowed in each particular zone. Chapter 13-8-3 also regulates placement of accessory structures, height and setback requirements and other regulations regarding accessory structures.

Use tables. Use tables for all zones have been modified removing accessory structures and certain accessory uses and moving them to Chapter 13-8-3.

Findings of Fact:

Section 13-7D-7(B) Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance

Criteria 1: *The proposed amendment conforms to the general plan and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein.*

Discussion: The General Plan encourages the creation of solar and wind power within the City. Specifically, the General Plan includes implementation measures within the Residential, Commercial, City Center/Neighborhood TSOD, Office, Industrial and Agricultural land use designations which call for the modification of existing ordinances to allow for solar and wind energy.

In addition, Chapter 12 Sustainability covers energy conservation. As part of a plan to urge conserving energy within the city, the General Plan encourages the utilization of alternative energy (page 174).

Finding: The proposed amendment conforms to the General Plan and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein.

Criteria 2: *The proposed amendment is appropriate given the context of the request and there is sufficient justification for a modification to this title.*

Discussion: There are existing alternative energy systems within the City. Several homes and businesses contain solar energy systems. Wind energy systems have been allowed to be installed as long as they meet all requirements for an accessory structure including a fall zone equal to the height of the system. Two wind turbines have been installed within the M-1 zoning district and in the area of Jordan Industrial Center. While the M-1 zoning district does not contain any height restrictions, the proposed text amendment would limit new wind energy systems to 40-feet in height. Staff has received four requests for information on wind energy regulations during the time the proposed text amendment was composed. The proposed regulations provide specific guidelines covering wind and solar energy which are not currently addressed within the Zoning Ordinance.

Finding: The proposed amendments are appropriate given the context of the request and there is sufficient justification for a modification to this title.

Criteria 3: *The proposed amendment will not create a conflict with any other section or part of this title or the general plan.*

Discussion: The proposed amendments were written to work in conjunction with existing Zoning regulations. In fact, ground mounted solar energy systems are to follow setback requirements for all accessory structures. The amendment has been reviewed by the Building and Safety, Engineering, and Public Works Departments for possible conflicts. None were found during review.

Finding: The proposed amendment will not create a conflict with any other section or part of this title or the General Plan.

Criteria 4: *The proposed amendment does not relieve a particular hardship, nor does it confer any special privileges to a single property owner or cause, and it is only necessary to make a modification to this title in light of corrections or changes in public policy.*

Discussion: The proposed amendment is sponsored by the City and is not in response to an application made with the City. While there is interest in the amendment, it has not been written with any one property owner in mind. The proposed amendment meets goals promoted in the General Plan and interest shown by residents of the City.

Finding: The proposed amendment does not relieve a particular hardship, nor does it confer any special privileges to a single property owner or

cause, and it is only necessary to make a modification to this title in light of corrections or changes in public policy.

The General Plan encouraged energy conservation measures within the City and the use of alternative energy systems. The proposed text amendment allowed for private solar and wind energy systems in most zoning districts. The amendment minimized the impact on the adjacent properties and the neighborhood and offered a mechanism for neighbor involvement through the conditional use process. Given past citizen and business owner interest in these types of systems, staff believed the appeal will grow and it was in the welfare of the City to have regulations in place to accommodate these facilities.

Larry Gardner reviewed the proposed renewable energy amendments.

The Council and Staff discussed clarifying questions.

Mayor Rolfe opened the public hearing. There was no one who desired to speak. Mayor Rolfe closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Stoker addressed his concern with the ability to tilt the solar panels up to 7 feet. He felt this would create an eyesore.

Larry Gardner stated that the panel could not exceed 50 feet in height, which would include the height of the building.

The Council and Staff discussed at length the allowable height of solar panel and wind turbines.

- Councilmember Stoker suggested limiting solar panels on pitched roofs to only flush mounted panels.
- Councilmember Stoker also mentioned including language to allow a flush-mounted system to be running to the peak of the roof if the roof is above the maximum allowable by the zoning in the district.
- Greg Mikolash stated that a change in the language of the Code to clarify how maximum allowable height is measured may be needed to address the issue.
- Councilmember Nichols inquired about the maximum height of wind turbines, and would like to see some flexibility in the height limit to allow residents to gain benefit.
- Councilmember McConnehey wanted to clarify whether the 8' height limit included the length of the blade and also suggested limiting the number of allowable turbines on a residential property. He would like to see geothermal energy addressed as an option for residents as well.
- Councilmember Stoker wished to clarify whether geothermal energy is allowable in residential areas or not.

MOTION: Councilmember Haaga moved to table the item to a later date, with the proposed amendments from Council. Councilmember Nichols seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken.

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL ORDINANCE 14-10, UPDATING THE 2012 CITY OF WEST JORDAN COMPREHENSIVE GENERAL PLAN ADDING TO THE TEXT A VISION STATEMENT, CITY OF WEST JORDAN, APPLICATION

Tom Burdett recommended that the City Council add a vision statement to the General Plan as recommended by the Planning Commission.

No fiscal impact was reported.

On June 4, 2013, the City Council appointed a temporary, ad hoc, Planning & Development Envisioning sub-committee to draft a vision statement to be inserted into the City's Comprehensive General Plan. The committee was comprised of members of the City Council and Planning Commission. They met four times to "brain storm" a vision statement. The vision statement developed by the sub-committee was reviewed by the City Council on December 4, 2013.

The purpose of the Vision Statement is a clarification to the philosophy supporting the General Plan. Attached (Exhibit B) is a copy of the vision statement as it appeared in the General Plan.

On February 4, 2014, a public hearing was held before the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval (5-0) to add a vision statement to the General Plan with a minor correction as indicated in the attached Planning Commission meeting minutes (Exhibit A).

According to Section 13-7C-6: Findings for Approval, any amendments to the general plan, including maps, shall be approved only if:

Criteria A: *The proposed amendment conforms to and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies set forth in the city general plan;*

Discussion: The proposed amendment is consistent with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The General Plan stated that the "Plan is not a static document and should evolve as the city evolves." (Page 8) Adding a vision statement to the plan is important as it broadly describes the city's objectives, mission and values. As such, it is appropriate that the Vision Statement be placed at the front of the General Plan. The General Plan, including the goals and policies, guide implementation of these values.

Finding: The proposed amendment conforms to the General Plan and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein.

Criteria B: *The development pattern contained on the land use plan inadequately provides the appropriate optional sites for the use and/or change proposed in the amendment;*

Discussion: This criterion does not apply.

Finding: This criterion does not apply.

Criteria C: *The proposed amendment will be compatible with other land uses, existing or planned, in the vicinity;*

Discussion: This criterion does not apply.

Finding: This criterion does not apply.

Criteria D: *The proposed amendment constitutes an overall improvement to the adopted general land use map and is not solely for the good or benefit of a particular person or entity;*

Discussion: This criterion does not apply.

Finding: This criterion does not apply.

Criteria E: *The proposed amendment will not adversely impact the neighborhood and community as a whole by significantly altering acceptable land use patterns and requiring larger and more expensive public infrastructure improvements, including, but not limited to, roads, water, wastewater and public safety facilities, than would otherwise be needed without the proposed change;*

Discussion: This criterion does not apply.

Finding: This criterion does not apply.

Criteria F: *The proposed amendment is consistent with other adopted plans, codes and ordinances; and*

Discussion: The proposed amendments are consistent with the City's Strategic Plan which was adopted by resolution (13-12) on January 30, 2013. The Strategic Plan states "We maintain a healthy balance between supporting growth and maintaining open space and lower density development." The plan also states "Recruit businesses that will provide strong and reliable employment for our residents." Designating additional commercial property on 9000 South will help achieve this goal.

Finding: The proposed amendment is consistent with other adopted plans, codes, and ordinances.

Adding the proposed vision statement was an appropriate modification to the General Plan.

Staff recommended that the City Council accept the findings contained in the staff report and adopt the proposed General Plan Amendment as discussed in this report.

The Council and staff discussed clarifying questions.

Mayor Rolfe opened the public hearing.

Alexandra Eframo, West Jordan resident, felt that the vision statement did not need to be updated unless it was to include not having any high-density developments in the City.

Michael Gregory, West Jordan resident, felt that the lines in the vision statement, "responsive transparent and trusted communication", and "improving quality of life for the community and residents" should be kept in mind when addressing soccer complex concerns.

There was no one else who desired to speak. Mayor Rolfe closed the public hearing.

The Council discussed amending the statement to read 'Growth and Development Vision Statement'

MOTION: Councilmember Haaga moved to approve Ordinance 14-10, updating the 2012 City of West Jordan Comprehensive General Plan adding to the text a Growth and Development Vision Statement. Councilmember McConchey seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0.

IX. BUSINESS ITEMS

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING NAMING THE PLAYGROUND AT THE RON WOOD PARK PHASE II PROJECT TO BE THE 'SIERRA NEWBOLD PLAYGROUND'

Bryce Haderlie reported to Council that staff had discussed naming the Ron Wood Phase II all abilities playground in honor of Sierra Newbold.

Staff had concluded that the request was appropriate and recommended approval.

The fiscal impact reported was that any signage or physical attributes would be brought to Council in conjunction with construction change orders for approval.

On June 26, 2012, 6-year-old Sierra Newbold's body was found in the canal area near her home in West Jordan. She was taken from her home in the night.

In honor of Sierra Newbold's life and the ongoing efforts of her family to bring awareness and fight child abuse, we would like to name the all abilities playground at the Ron Wood Park Phase II after Sierra Newbold.

Her family was consulted by the West Jordan Police Department, and they felt it would be a great way to honor Sierra's life.

In 2013, a local resident paid for a park bench to be placed in Veteran's Memorial Park to honor Sierra Newbold. The bench was dedicated in June 2013 with a plaque and quote taken from A.A. Milne's Winnie the Pooh; "If there ever comes a day when we can't be together, keep me in your heart, I'll stay there forever."

Mayor Rolfe felt this was a wonderful thing to do for the community. He lives very close to this family.

Councilmember Stoker shared that it was the intent to make this playground something meaningful for the community, and that naming it for Sierra Newbold would accomplish that.

Jeff Robinson read an email received from Bryce Haderlie into the record:

“Dear Council Members,

Agenda item 9a of the March 12 Council meeting is a proposal to name the playground after Sierra Newbold who tragically lost her life in a child abuse crime in West Jordan on June 26, 2012. The question has been asked if this proposal is in compliance with Section 8-15, “Memorials, Monuments, and Naming of Public Places and Facilities.

The specific sections to consider include the following:

8-15-1: PURPOSES:

A. To provide guidelines for consistency in:

- 1. The naming of city owned and managed parks, public spaces, public buildings, and public facilities, and*
- 2. The placement of memorials, including installation of monuments and planting of memorial trees, in any public space within the city.*

B. To ensure the naming of parks, public spaces, public buildings and public facilities, and the placement of monuments and memorials: 1) commemorate and recognize individuals, institutions or events contributing significantly to the historical creation or betterment of the city, the state or the nation; 2) are consistent with the overall interests, values, and expectations of the citizens of West Jordan City; and 3) are consistent with state and federal requirements as may now or in the future be applicable. (Ord. 09-11, 3-24-2009)

8-15-2: SCOPE:

A. This chapter covers all proposals to name parks, public spaces, public buildings and public facilities, and the donation or other placement of memorials or monuments, including planting of memorial trees, in any public space within the city.

8-15-4: GENERAL NAMING PRINCIPLES AND PLACEMENT:

A. All proposals to name a park, public space, public building or a public facility and the proposed placement of memorials or monuments, including installation of donated seating, street furniture and play/park equipment, and planting of memorial trees in any public space within the city, will be considered on a case by case basis, whether generated by the public or from within the city council. Notwithstanding this case by case approach, the relevant criteria to be taken into consideration shall include, but not be limited to:

- 1. There must be a high level of community support for the proposal;*
- 2. The proposal must: a) commemorate a person or a group who made a significant contribution to the West Jordan community, the state of Utah or the United States of America; or b) commemorate an event or occasion that is important in local, state or*

national history; or commemorate an important indigenous or nonindigenous aspect of West Jordan City's heritage;

3. Any proposed name must reflect the character, landscape, natural amenity, flora or fauna, or function of the site or the area.

The Council has at least three choices. It may choose to not name the park as proposed, it may direct staff to make changes to the ordinance if it is your opinion that the proposed name change is not in compliance with current law, or approve the name change with the proposed motion:

"Seeing that the City of West Jordan is opposed to any form of child abuse, and; recognizing the tragic loss of Sierra Newbold on June 26, 2012 through an act of child abuse, and; wanting to memorialize the life of Sierra Newbold in an effort to fight the tragedy of child abuse, and; desiring to improve the pleasure and enjoyment of all children in our community through the construction of an "all abilities" playground, and; in accordance with Section 8-15-4. I move to name the "all abilities" playground at Ron Wood Park Phase II, the "Sierra Newbold Playground" to commemorate Sierra Newbold and the contribution that her life and memory has, and will continue to make, in the war on child abuse in West Jordan and throughout the world, further move to direct staff for the design and appearance of the park to promote the hope and pleasure of all children, regardless of abilities, as well as the vision and desire of this community to abolish child abuse."

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey moved to approve the naming of the Ron Wood Park Phase II all abilities playground the 'Sierra Newbold Playground.' Councilmember Stoker seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMCAST CARES DAY DONATIONS BE ALLOCATED TO THE 'SIERRA NEWBOLD PLAYGROUND'

Bryce Haderlie reported that a donation from Comcast Cares was made for every volunteer who worked. It was requested that the donations be put toward the Sierra Newbold Playground.

The fiscal impact reported was that the donation amount was dependent on the number of volunteers who registered/checked-in, and worked.

Staff concluded that the request was appropriate given the timeliness of the playground construction and added cost. Staff recommended approval.

The 'I Love West Jordan Day' was combined with 'Comcast Cares Day' on April 26, 2014. Together, the community worked side by side in a city-wide day of volunteerism to beautify and improve the community. Everyone's time and participation was truly appreciated.

The day kicked off and concluded at Veteran's Memorial Park. Project assignments were given that morning at the park.

It was reported that in 2011, 600 volunteers braved snow and cold to help beautify the community 'Comcast Cares Day, 2011'. Comcast Cares donated a total of \$10,360 to local nonprofits in West Jordan thanks to the volunteers. The Children's Justice Center and the South Valley Sanctuary each received \$5,180.

MOTION: Councilmember Nichols moved to approve the Comcast Cares Day Donations be allocated to the 'Sierra Newbold Playground.' The motion was seconded by Councilmember Stoker.

A roll call vote was taken.

Councilmember Haag	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0.

LETTER TO THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (UDOT) REQUESTING CONSIDERATION OF JURISDICTIONAL TRANSFER OF ROADWAYS

Wendell Rigby requested that the Council considered approving and authorizing the Mayor to sign a letter requesting that UDOT consider various options for 'jurisdictional transfers' of roadways within the City of West Jordan.

There was no fiscal impact reported.

Staff recommended that City Council approve the content of the letter and authorize the Mayor to sign the letter to UDOT requesting their consideration of options for jurisdictional transfers of roadways within the City of West Jordan.

On March 3, 2014, the Mayor, City Manager, and City staff met with Nathan Lee, UDOT Region 2 Director and Brian Adams, UDOT Region 2 Deputy Director to discuss the possibility and process for transferring ownership of various roadways within the City.

Mr. Lee provided copies of the UDOT's process and State code (see Attachment A to this report) regarding these issues to the City. He further indicated that the first step in initiating the process was for the City to prepare a letter to the UDOT Region 2 Director making a formal request for UDOT's consideration. UDOT and the City would then go through a process as outlined in State code sections 72-4-102 and 72-4-102.5 and determine if these transfer options met the code requirements.

The options the City is requesting UDOT consider include:

1. UDOT assumes ownership of 9000 South, from Redwood Road to 5600 West – The City requested that UDOT evaluate taking over ownership of this portion of 9000 South as it would now act as a major arterial connector between I-15 and Mountain View Highway. With the completion of the Mountain View Highway, 9000 South continued to increase in traffic volumes, resulting in substantial operations and maintenance costs which were not the responsibility of the City of West Jordan and should be borne by UDOT. Please see the 'blue' line on the attached map which shows this portion of roadway.
2. UDOT assumes ownership of 7800 South from Jordan Landing Blvd. to SR-111, the City assumes ownership of New Bingham Highway from Jordan Landing Blvd. to 5600 West - The City requested that UDOT evaluate assuming ownership of this portion of 7800 South as it would act as a major arterial connector between Banger Highway and Mountain View Highway. It also acted as a major arterial collector between Mountain View Corridor, now that the Mountain View Highway is complete. With the completion of the Mountain View Highway, 7800 South will continue to increase in traffic volumes, resulting in significant operations and maintenance costs which should be the responsibility of UDOT. In exchange for UDOT taking over responsibility for these two sections of 7800 South, the City would take ownership of New Bingham Highway from Jordan Landing Blvd. to 5600 West. Please see the 'green' line on the attached map which indicates this portion of roadway.
3. Exchanging Ownership of 9000 South, from Redwood Road to 5600 West for 7800 South, from Redwood Road to 5600 West. – An alternative to Option Nos. 1 and 2 listed above, the City would request that UDOT take over ownership of this portion of 9000 South in exchange for the City taking over ownership of 7800 South from Redwood Road to Jordan Landing Blvd. and New Bingham Highway

from Jordan Landing Blvd. to 5600 West, which were UDOT roadways. Please see the 'blue' and 'red' lines on the attached map which indicates these portions of roadways.

The City also requested UDOT to form a partnership for evaluation of these options in accordance with State Code sections 72-4-104 and 72-4-102.5. Staff proposed a 50/50 split of engineering costs to complete this evaluation. This issue would be brought back to the City Council at a later date as part of a Professional Services Agreement approval.

Also discussed at the meeting were issues related to the roadway surface condition of 9000 South between 4800 West and 5600 West. Staff investigated options for funding a design of this roadway reconstruction and presented that information to the Council at a later date. The intent would be to attempt to design and reconstruct this portion of roadway during this calendar year, but we have yet to obtain the funding required and have not yet defined the timeline required to complete this work.

Wendell Rigby explained that 7800 South and New Bingham Highway should be classified as regional roadways, rather than local, because they would be connector roads for freeway access in the near future, and should be transferred to UDOT's jurisdiction.

Mayor Rolfe supported the recommendation.

The Council and staff discussed clarifying questions regarding the City and State roads.

**MOTION: Councilmember Haaga moved to approve Resolution 14-50.
Councilmember Nichols seconded the motion.**

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehe	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0.

**DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR
ADJUSTMENT TO STORM DRAIN IMPACT FEES PURSUANT TO
SECITON 3-7-14 OF THE WEST JORDAN CITY CODE**

This item was withdrawn by the Applicant.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE THRESHOLD OF BUDGET REQUIREMENTS REQUEST OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Councilmember Haaga mentioned that there were some items in the budget that were of low priority, and solicited input regarding the amount of information needed for low priority budget items in the interest of efficiency.

Councilmember Stoker clarified that if the purchase was \$20,000 or more, and not listed in a previously approved budget, the purchasing policy requirement was to present the request to Council for approval.

Mayor Rolfe added that Council can sometimes be bogged down in the details of minor budgetary issues that may be better left to the judgment of staff as they were trusted professionals.

Bryce Haderlie informed Council regarding the ERP program that there was a dashboard function that could be customized for each Councilmember, allowing them to see the data they wanted to see in real time, and see detailed spending data.

Mayor Rolfe directed Council to be cognizant of the amount of time it takes staff to research and answer questions, and limit inquiries on smaller budgetary issues for the time being.

Councilmember McConnehey clarified that he wanted to see reporting at the same level approvals were made.

Mayor Rolfe indicated that Council would move forward in that direction.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RESOLUTION 14-51, REVIEWING AND ADOPTING THE FY 2014-2015 COUNCIL GOALS AND BUSINESS PLAN FOR ACCOMPLISHING THE GOALS.

Richard L. Davis discussed adopting the FY 2014-2015 Council Goals and Business Plan. He requested feedback from Council as to whether their goals were effectively articulated, if the critical outcomes were correctly identified, and if the strategies and initiatives for achieving the goals were listed exhaustively. He solicited input regarding changes or additions.

FY 2014-2015 COUNCIL GOALS

1. Parks Trails and Open Space
2. Economic Development
3. Land Use
4. Fleet
5. Police

Councilmember Stoker felt that the goals and business plan was an accurate depiction of the items discussed at the Strategic Planning meeting and approved of the Resolution, and Plan.

MOTION: Councilmember Stoker moved to adopt Resolution 14-51. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE REORGANIZATION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Richard L. Davis presented to Council that the most important part of the reorganization of the Public Works Department was the establishment of a separate Parks Department. He related that Parks represents one of the city's most significant investments, and the organizational structure should reflect this.

Public Works could significantly improve its administration and delivery of services by decreasing the spectrum of services currently provided through this department.

A slide depicting a chart displaying the organization of the Parks Department was shown.

He highlighted that the assistant manager of the Parks Department should have the title 'Deputy Parks Director,' effectively allowing for two-deep leadership.

He presented that services that were provided to internal customers such as IT, Human Resources, Community Affairs, and Finance, would be better managed by Administration Services, which was the reason for moving the Fleet and Facilities divisions.

A Parks, Trails, and Open Space Fund would be proposed for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. He solicited feedback for changes to the proposed reorganization.

MOTION: Councilmember Stoker moved to accept the reorganization as presented and move forward. Councilmember Nichols seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken.

Councilmember Haaga	Yes
Councilmember Hansen	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Nichols	Yes
Councilmember Southworth	Absent
Councilmember Stoker	Yes
Mayor Rolfe	Yes

The motion passed 6-0

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE CITY'S CAPITAL PROJECTS OVERVIEW

Dave Murphy updated the Council on City Capital Projects. He related that they had approximately 60 different projects split amongst Enterprise Funds, General Fund, State and Federally funded projects. He specifically mentioned the following projects:

- 5600 West was on schedule; they were installing and burying power lines. Smith's was moving dirt on their site and excavation started on the road.
- Reservoir projects were on schedule.
- 9000 S tunnel was given the notice to proceed.
- Box culvert structure was under permit review and approval. Anticipated arrival time for the boxed section is mid-May.

The Council and staff discussed clarifying questions.

X. REMARKS

Councilmember Nichols expressed appreciation to the Police Department for allowing him to participate in simulator training.

Mayor Rolfe mentioned that Council will be recognizing two members of the Police Department for heroic behavior in an upcoming Council meeting, and stressed the importance of the public witnessing such events. He recommended that other departments give public recognition in Council meetings for exemplary behavior.

There were no additional remarks.

XI. ADJOURN

MOTION: Councilmember Nichols moved to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Stoker and passed 6-0 in favor.

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a verbatim transcription of the meeting. These minutes are a brief overview of what occurred at the meeting.

KIM V ROLFE
Mayor

ATTEST:

MELANIE S BRIGGS, MMC
City Clerk

Approved this 26th, day of March 2014

DRAFT